Front propagation in a transport model with a nonlocal nonlinear condition at the boundary

Jean-Michel Roquejoffre Université Toulouse III-Paul Sabatier

Joint work with G. Faye and M. Zhang

# Model and question

**Unknown function:** u(t, x, i); t > 0, i > 0,  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ 

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + \partial_i u = -\gamma(i)u & (t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}, i > 0) \\ u(t, x, 0) = f\left[\int_0^{+\infty} \beta(i)K *_x u(t, ., i) di\right]. \\ u(0, x, i) = u_0(x, i) \text{ compactly supported in } (x, i) \end{cases}$$

I ≥ ▶

Unknown function: u(t, x, i); t > 0, i > 0,  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ 

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + \partial_i u = -\gamma(i)u & (t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}, i > 0) \\ u(t, x, 0) = f\left[\int_0^{+\infty} \beta(i)K *_x u(t, ., i) di\right]. \\ u(0, x, i) = u_0(x, i) \text{ compactly supported in } (x, i) \end{cases}$$

- 
$$f(0) = 0$$
;  $f(u) > 0$ ,  $f''(u) < 0$  for  $u > 0$ .

—  $K(x) \ge 0$ ,  $C^{\infty}$ , even, compact support, unit mass.

—  $\beta(i) \ge 0$ ,  $\gamma(i) > 0$ , both  $C^{\infty}$  w. compact support.

Sharp asymptotic behaviour  $t \to +\infty$ ?

• • = • • = •

#### Motivations

 Take a fresh look at an old, important, and much studied model initially devised for the spatial spread of epidemics.

#### Motivations

- Take a fresh look at an old, important, and much studied model initially devised for the spatial spread of epidemics.
- Front propagation expected to be of the Fisher-KPP type.

#### Motivations

- Take a fresh look at an old, important, and much studied model initially devised for the spatial spread of epidemics.
- Front propagation expected to be of the Fisher-KPP type.

### Outline

- The Fisher-KPP equation
- Derivation of the model
- What to expect, kown results
- Sharp asymptotic behaviour

Jean-Michel Roquejoffre Université Toulouse III-Paul Sabatier

æ



A.N. Kolmogorov (1903-1987) I.G. Petrovskii (1901-1973) N.S. Piskunov (1908-1977)

$$\left\{\begin{array}{ll} u_t-u_{xx}=&u-u^2\quad(t>0,x\in\mathbb{R})\\ u(0,x)=&u_0(x)\in[0,1] \text{ w. compact support}\end{array}\right.$$



A.N. Kolmogorov (1903-1987) I.G. Petrovskii (1901-1973) N.S. Piskunov (1908-1977)

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{rl} u_t-u_{xx}=&u-u^2\quad(t>0,x\in\mathbb{R})\\ u(0,x)=&u_0(x)\in[0,1] \text{ w. compact support} \end{array} \right.$$

Global smooth solution  $u(t,x) \in (0,1)$ .  $\dot{u} = u - u^2$  pushes solution to 1, diffusion spreads it



A.N. Kolmogorov (1903-1987) I.G. Petrovskii (1901-1973) N.S. Piskunov (1908-1977)

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{rl} u_t-u_{xx}=&u-u^2\quad(t>0,x\in\mathbb{R})\\ u(0,x)=&u_0(x)\in[0,1] \text{ w. compact support} \end{array} \right.$$

Global smooth solution  $u(t,x) \in (0,1)$ .  $\dot{u} = u - u^2$  pushes solution to 1, diffusion spreads it



#### Invasion of whole line by the state u = 1.

### Invasion by state u = 1: mathematical description

X(t): furthest point x to right s.t. u(t,x) = 1/2

X(t): furthest point x to right s.t. u(t,x) = 1/2Theorem. (KPP, 1937).  $X(t) = 2t + o_{t \to +\infty}(t)$ .

### Invasion by state u = 1: mathematical description

X(t): furthest point x to right s.t. u(t,x) = 1/2Theorem. (KPP, 1937).  $X(t) = 2t + o_{t \to +\infty}(t)$ . Theorem. (Bramson, 1980).  $o(t) = 2t - \frac{3}{2} \ln t + x_{\infty} + o_{t \to +\infty}(1)$ . X(t): furthest point x to right s.t. u(t,x) = 1/2Theorem. (KPP, 1937).  $X(t) = 2t + o_{t \to +\infty}(t)$ . Theorem. (Bramson, 1980).  $o(t) = 2t - \frac{3}{2} \ln t + x_{\infty} + o_{t \to +\infty}(1)$ .

### Origin of the 2t

- 0 "most unstable value" of  $\dot{u} = u u^2$ .  $\implies$  Dynamics driven by small values of u.
- Linear equation around u = 0:  $w_t w_{xx} w = 0$ .

X(t): furthest point x to right s.t. u(t,x) = 1/2Theorem. (KPP, 1937).  $X(t) = 2t + o_{t \to +\infty}(t)$ . Theorem. (Bramson, 1980).  $o(t) = 2t - \frac{3}{2} \ln t + x_{\infty} + o_{t \to +\infty}(1)$ .

### Origin of the 2t

- 0 "most unstable value" of  $\dot{u} = u u^2$ .
  - $\implies$  Dynamics driven by small values of u.
- Linear equation around u = 0:  $w_t w_{xx} w = 0$ .
- Real solutions (Linear waves)  $w(t, x) = e^{-\lambda(x-ct)}$  iff  $c \ge c_* = 2$ .

As f is concave, do we have this sort of behaviour in mode under study?

X(t): furthest point x to right s.t. u(t,x) = 1/2Theorem. (KPP, 1937).  $X(t) = 2t + o_{t \to +\infty}(t)$ . Theorem. (Bramson, 1980).  $o(t) = 2t - \frac{3}{2} \ln t + x_{\infty} + o_{t \to +\infty}(1)$ .

### Origin of the 2t

- 0 "most unstable value" of  $\dot{u} = u u^2$ .
  - $\implies$  Dynamics driven by small values of u.
- Linear equation around u = 0:  $w_t w_{xx} w = 0$ .
- Real solutions (Linear waves)  $w(t, x) = e^{-\lambda(x-ct)}$  iff  $c \ge c_* = 2$ .

#### As f is concave, do we have this sort of behaviour in mode under study?

Epidemiological relevance questionnable, but interesting mathematical issues

# Derivation of the model

# Derivation of the model

Modelling of spread of an epidemic needs, at the very least:

- A contamination mechanism
- A diffusion process.



W. Kermack (1898-1970), A.G. McKendrick (1976-1943)

#### コト 4 四 ト 4 目 ト 4 目 ・ つへで



W. Kermack (1898-1970), A.G. McKendrick (1976-1943)

### Assumptions

- # new infections  $\propto$  (# susceptibles )×(# already infected).
- Infectivity  $\beta(i)$  and removal  $\gamma(i)$  depend on infection duration.



W. Kermack (1898-1970), A.G. McKendrick (1976-1943)

### Assumptions

- # new infections  $\propto$  (# susceptibles )×(# already infected).
- Infectivity  $\beta(i)$  and removal  $\gamma(i)$  depend on infection duration.

### Equations



W. Kermack (1898-1970), A.G. McKendrick (1976-1943)

### Assumptions

- # new infections  $\propto$  (# susceptibles )×(# already infected).
- Infectivity  $\beta(i)$  and removal  $\gamma(i)$  depend on infection duration.

### Equations

• S(t): # susceptibles,  $\mathcal{I}(t, i)$ : density of infected for duration *i*.



W. Kermack (1898-1970), A.G. McKendrick (1976-1943)

### Assumptions

- # new infections  $\propto$  (# susceptibles )×(# already infected).
- Infectivity  $\beta(i)$  and removal  $\gamma(i)$  depend on infection duration.

### Equations

- S(t): # susceptibles,  $\mathcal{I}(t, i)$ : density of infected for duration *i*.
  - Removal of infected:  $\partial_t \mathcal{I} + \partial_i \mathcal{I} = -\gamma(i)\mathcal{I}$ .



W. Kermack (1898-1970), A.G. McKendrick (1976-1943)

### Assumptions

- # new infections  $\propto$  (# susceptibles )×(# already infected).
- Infectivity  $\beta(i)$  and removal  $\gamma(i)$  depend on infection duration.

### Equations

- S(t): # susceptibles,  $\mathcal{I}(t, i)$ : density of infected for duration *i*.
  - Removal of infected:  $\partial_t \mathcal{I} + \partial_i \mathcal{I} = -\gamma(i)\mathcal{I}$ .
  - Arrival of new infected:  $\mathcal{I}(t,0) = S(t) \int_{0}^{+\infty} \beta(i) \mathcal{I}(t,i) di$ .



W. Kermack (1898-1970), A.G. McKendrick (1976-1943)

### Assumptions

- # new infections  $\propto$  (# susceptibles )×(# already infected).
- Infectivity  $\beta(i)$  and removal  $\gamma(i)$  depend on infection duration.

### Equations

- S(t): # susceptibles,  $\mathcal{I}(t, i)$ : density of infected for duration *i*.
  - Removal of infected:  $\partial_t \mathcal{I} + \partial_i \mathcal{I} = -\gamma(i)\mathcal{I}$ .
  - Arrival of new infected:  $\mathcal{I}(t,0) = S(t) \int_{0}^{+\infty} \beta(i) \mathcal{I}(t,i) di$ .
  - Update of susceptibles:  $\dot{S} = -\mathcal{I}(t, 0)$ .

At t = 0, injection of small amount of infected  $I_0(i)$ .

Jean-Michel Roquejoffre Université Toulouse III-Paul Sabatier

▶ ∢ ≣

$$I(t) = \int_0^{+\infty} \mathcal{I}(t, i) \ di \ (\text{density of infected at time } t).$$

$$I(t) = \int_0^{+\infty} \mathcal{I}(t, i) \, di \text{ (density of infected at time } t).$$
  
$$\dot{S} = -\beta SI, \quad \dot{I} = \beta SI - \gamma I, \quad S(0) = S_0, \ I(0) = I_0.$$

 $I(t) = \int_0^{+\infty} \mathcal{I}(t, i) \, di \text{ (density of infected at time } t).$  $\dot{S} = -\beta SI, \quad \dot{I} = \beta SI - \gamma I, \quad S(0) = S_0, \ I(0) = I_0.$ Cumulative density:  $u(t) = \int_0^t I(s) ds. \ \dot{u} = S_0(1 - e^{-\beta u}) - \gamma u + I_0.$ 

**Define**  $R_0 = S_0 \beta / \gamma$ .



 $I(t) = \int_0^{+\infty} \mathcal{I}(t, i) \, di \text{ (density of infected at time } t).$  $\dot{S} = -\beta SI, \quad \dot{I} = \beta SI - \gamma I, \quad S(0) = S_0, \ I(0) = I_0.$ Cumulative density:  $u(t) = \int_0^t I(s) ds. \quad \dot{u} = S_0 (1 - e^{-\beta u}) - \gamma u + I_0.$ 

**Define**  $R_0 = S_0 \beta / \gamma$ .



-  $R_0 \leq 1$ :  $u(t) \rightarrow u_{\infty}(I_0)$  small (extinction).

-  $R_0>1$ :  $u(t) 
ightarrow u_\infty(I_0)>u_\infty$ . Susceptibles  $ightarrow S_0 e^{-eta u_\infty(I_0)}$ .

Cumulative densities  $u(t,i) = \int_0^t \mathcal{I}(s,i) \, ds$ . Denote  $f(u) = S_0(1 - e^{-u})$ .

Cumulative densities  $u(t,i) = \int_0^t \mathcal{I}(s,i) \, ds$ . Denote  $f(u) = S_0(1 - e^{-u})$ .

$$\partial_t u + \partial_i u = -\gamma(i)u + I_0(i), \quad u(t,0) = f\left(\int_0^{+\infty} \beta(i)u(t,i) di\right).$$

Cumulative densities  $u(t,i) = \int_0^t \mathcal{I}(s,i) \, ds$ . Denote  $f(u) = S_0(1-e^{-u})$ .

$$\partial_t u + \partial_i u = -\gamma(i)u + I_0(i), \quad u(t,0) = f\left(\int_0^{+\infty} \beta(i)u(t,i) di\right).$$

Proposition. Set  $R_0 = S_0 \int_0^{+\infty} \beta(i) e^{-\int_0^i \gamma(j) dj} di$ . If  $R_0 > 1$ ,

- there is a unique nontrivial steady state  $u_{\infty}(i)$ .

Cumulative densities  $u(t,i) = \int_0^t \mathcal{I}(s,i) \, ds$ . Denote  $f(u) = S_0(1 - e^{-u})$ .

$$\partial_t u + \partial_i u = -\gamma(i)u + I_0(i), \quad u(t,0) = f\left(\int_0^{+\infty} \beta(i)u(t,i) di\right).$$

Proposition. Set  $R_0 = S_0 \int_0^{+\infty} \beta(i) e^{-\int_0^i \gamma(j) dj} di$ . If  $R_0 > 1$ ,

- there is a unique nontrivial steady state  $u_{\infty}(i)$ .

- We have 
$$\lim_{t \to +\infty} u(t, i) = u_{\infty}(i)$$
, and  
 $\lim_{t \to +\infty} S(t) = S_0 \exp\left(-\int_0^{+\infty} \beta(i)u_{\infty}(i) di\right).$ 

Cumulative densities  $u(t,i) = \int_0^t \mathcal{I}(s,i) \, ds$ . Denote  $f(u) = S_0(1-e^{-u})$ .

$$\partial_t u + \partial_i u = -\gamma(i)u + I_0(i), \quad u(t,0) = f\left(\int_0^{+\infty} \beta(i)u(t,i) di\right).$$

Proposition. Set  $R_0 = S_0 \int_0^{+\infty} \beta(i) e^{-\int_0^i \gamma(j) dj} di$ . If  $R_0 > 1$ ,

- there is a unique nontrivial steady state  $u_{\infty}(i)$ .

- We have 
$$\lim_{t \to +\infty} u(t, i) = u_{\infty}(i)$$
, and  
 $\lim_{t \to +\infty} S(t) = S_0 \exp\left(-\int_0^{+\infty} \beta(i)u_{\infty}(i) di\right).$ 

**Remark.** Def. of  $R_0$  consistent w.  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  constant.

Jean-Michel Roquejoffre Université Toulouse III-Paul Sabatier

æ

SIR and Kermack-McKendrick have no spatial spread mechanism.

SIR and Kermack-McKendrick have no spatial spread mechanism.

— Infectivity range (Kendall, 1957):  $\beta SI \rightarrow \beta S K * I$ .

 $\partial_t S = -\beta S K * I, \ \partial_t I = \beta S K * I - \gamma I$ 

SIR and Kermack-McKendrick have no spatial spread mechanism.

— Infectivity range (Kendall, 1957):  $\beta SI \rightarrow \beta S K * I$ .

$$\partial_t S = -\beta S K * I, \ \partial_t I = \beta S K * I - \gamma I$$



D.G. Kendall (1918-2007)

SIR and Kermack-McKendrick have no spatial spread mechanism.

— Infectivity range (Kendall, 1957):  $\beta SI \rightarrow \beta S K * I$ .

 $\partial_t S = -\beta S K * I, \ \partial_t I = \beta S K * I - \gamma I$ 



D.G. Kendall (1918-2007)

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト …

- Cumulated density of infected at point x: u(t,x).  $u_t = S_0(1 - e^{-\beta K * u}) - \gamma u + I_0(x)$ 

SIR and Kermack-McKendrick have no spatial spread mechanism.

— Infectivity range (Kendall, 1957):  $\beta SI \rightarrow \beta S K * I$ .

 $\partial_t S = -\beta S K * I, \ \partial_t I = \beta S K * I - \gamma I$ 



D.G. Kendall (1918-2007)

— Cumulated density of infected at point x: u(t,x).

 $u_t = S_0(1 - e^{-\beta K * u}) - \gamma u + I_0(x)$ 

- Fisher-KPP type structure: linearised equation. around 0

$$\mathbf{v}_t + S_0\beta(\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{K} * \mathbf{v}) = \gamma(R_0 - 1)\mathbf{v}.$$

S(t,x): susceptibles;  $\mathcal{I}(t,x,i)$ : infected for duration *i*.

S(t, x): susceptibles;  $\mathcal{I}(t, x, i)$ : infected for duration *i*.

- Newly infected arrive:

$$\mathcal{I}(t,x,0) = S(t,x) \int_0^{+\infty} \beta(i) K *_x \mathcal{I}(t,.,i) di$$

S(t,x): susceptibles;  $\mathcal{I}(t,x,i)$ : infected for duration *i*.

- Newly infected arrive:  $\mathcal{I}(t,x,0) = S(t,x) \int_{0}^{+\infty} \beta(i)K *_{x} \mathcal{I}(t,.,i)di.$ - Introduce  $u(t,x,i) = \int_{0}^{t} \mathcal{I}(s,x,i) ds$ ; use  $\partial_{t}S = -\mathcal{I}(s,0,x)$ :  $u(t,x,0) = f \left[ \int_{0}^{+\infty} \beta(i)K *_{x} u(t,.,i) di \right], \quad f(u) = S_{0}(1-e^{-u}).$ 

S(t,x): susceptibles;  $\mathcal{I}(t,x,i)$ : infected for duration *i*.

- Newly infected arrive:  $\mathcal{I}(t, x, 0) = S(t, x) \int_{0}^{+\infty} \beta(i) K *_{x} \mathcal{I}(t, ., i) di.$ - Introduce  $u(t, x, i) = \int_{0}^{t} \mathcal{I}(s, x, i) ds$ ; use  $\partial_{t} S = -\mathcal{I}(s, 0, x)$ :  $u(t, x, 0) = f \left[ \int_{0}^{+\infty} \beta(i) K *_{x} u(t, ., i) di \right], \quad f(u) = S_{0}(1 - e^{-u}).$ 

- Equation for  $u: u_t + u_i = -\gamma(i)u + \mathcal{I}(0, x, i)$ .

S(t,x): susceptibles;  $\mathcal{I}(t,x,i)$ : infected for duration *i*.

- Newly infected arrive:  $\mathcal{I}(t, x, 0) = S(t, x) \int_{0}^{+\infty} \beta(i) K *_{x} \mathcal{I}(t, ., i) di.$ - Introduce  $u(t, x, i) = \int_{0}^{t} \mathcal{I}(s, x, i) ds$ ; use  $\partial_{t} S = -\mathcal{I}(s, 0, x)$ :  $u(t, x, 0) = f \left[ \int_{0}^{+\infty} \beta(i) K *_{x} u(t, ., i) di \right], \quad f(u) = S_{0}(1 - e^{-u}).$ 

- Equation for  $u: u_t + u_i = -\gamma(i)u + \mathcal{I}(0, x, i)$ .

From then on we will consider the Cauchy Problem, without RHS  $\mathcal{I}(0, x, i)$ .

# What to expect, known results

#### Kendall model

$$u_t = S_0(1 - e^{-\beta K * u}) - \gamma u$$
  $R_0 > 1.$ 



 $\lim_{t \to +\infty} u(t,x) = u_{\infty}$ ,  $S_0(1 - e^{-eta u_{\infty}}) - \gamma u_{\infty} = 0$ . (Kendall, 1957)

#### Kendall model

$$u_t = S_0(1 - e^{-\beta K * u}) - \gamma u$$
  $R_0 > 1.$ 



$$\lim_{t\to+\infty} u(t,x) = u_{\infty}, \ S_0(1-e^{-\beta u_{\infty}}) - \gamma u_{\infty} = 0. \ (\text{Kendall, 1957})$$

#### Nonlocal transport model



 $\lim_{t \to +\infty} u(t, x, i) = u_{\infty}(i)$ , steady solution of Kermack-McKendrick.

æ

Jean-Michel Roquejoffre Université Toulouse III-Paul Sabatier

#### ◆ロト ◆母 ト ◆臣 ト ◆臣 ト ○臣 … のの()

Linear wave: sol. of  $v_t + S_0\beta(v - K * v) = \gamma(R_0 - 1)v$ , with  $v(t, x) = e^{-\lambda(x-ct)}$ ,  $\lambda > 0$ , c > 0.

Linear wave: sol. of 
$$v_t + S_0\beta(v - K * v) = \gamma(R_0 - 1)v$$
,  
with  $v(t, x) = e^{-\lambda(x-ct)}$ ,  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $c > 0$ .  
 $2S_0\beta \int_0^{+\infty} K(y)(\cosh(\lambda y) - 1)dy = c\lambda - \gamma(R_0 - 1)$ .



 $c_*$ : least c > 0 such that it is possible,  $\lambda_*$ : corresponding  $\lambda$ .

≣⇒

Linear wave: sol. of  $v_t + S_0\beta(v - K * v) = \gamma(R_0 - 1)v$ , with  $v(t, x) = e^{-\lambda(x-ct)}$ ,  $\lambda > 0$ , c > 0.  $2S_0\beta \int_0^{+\infty} K(y)(\cosh(\lambda y) - 1)dy = c\lambda - \gamma(R_0 - 1)$ .



 $c_*$ : least c > 0 such that it is possible,  $\lambda_*$ : corresponding  $\lambda$ .

X(t): furthest point x to the right s.t.  $u(t,x) = u_{\infty}/2$ .

Linear wave: sol. of  $v_t + S_0\beta(v - K * v) = \gamma(R_0 - 1)v$ , with  $v(t, x) = e^{-\lambda(x-ct)}$ ,  $\lambda > 0$ , c > 0.  $2S_0\beta \int_0^{+\infty} K(y)(\cosh(\lambda y) - 1)dy = c\lambda - \gamma(R_0 - 1)$ .



 $c_*$ : least c > 0 such that it is possible,  $\lambda_*$ : corresponding  $\lambda$ .

X(t): furthest point x to the right s.t.  $u(t,x) = u_{\infty}/2$ . Theorem (Aronson, 1977).  $R_0 > 1 \implies X(t) = c_*t + o(t)$ .

Linear wave: sol. of  $v_t + S_0\beta(v - K * v) = \gamma(R_0 - 1)v$ , with  $v(t, x) = e^{-\lambda(x-ct)}$ ,  $\lambda > 0$ , c > 0.  $2S_0\beta \int_0^{+\infty} K(y)(\cosh(\lambda y) - 1)dy = c\lambda - \gamma(R_0 - 1)$ .



 $c_*$ : least c > 0 such that it is possible,  $\lambda_*$ : corresponding  $\lambda$ .

 $X(t): \text{ furthest point } x \text{ to the right s.t. } u(t,x) = u_{\infty}/2.$ Theorem (Aronson, 1977).  $R_0 > 1 \implies X(t) = c_*t + o(t).$ Theorem (R., 2023). There is  $x_{\infty}$  such that  $X(t) = c_*t - \frac{3}{2\lambda_*} \ln t + x_{\infty} + o(t).$ 

Linear wave: sol. of 
$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + \partial_i u = -\gamma(i)u & (t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}, i > 0) \\ u(t, x, 0) = f'(0) \int_0^{+\infty} \beta(i)K *_x u(t, ., i) di. \\ \text{with } u(t, x, i) = e^{-\lambda(x - ct)}u(i). \end{cases}$$

Linear wave: sol. of 
$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + \partial_i u = -\gamma(i)u & (t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}, i > 0) \\ u(t, x, 0) = f'(0) \int_0^{+\infty} \beta(i)K *_x u(t, ., i) di. \\ \text{with } u(t, x, i) = e^{-\lambda(x - ct)}u(i). \end{cases}$$



 $c_*$ : smallest c > 0 such that linear wave exists.

Linear wave: sol. of 
$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + \partial_i u = -\gamma(i)u & (t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}, i > 0) \\ u(t, x, 0) = f'(0) \int_0^{+\infty} \beta(i)K *_x u(t, ., i) di. \\ \text{with } u(t, x, i) = e^{-\lambda(x - ct)}u(i). \end{cases}$$



 $c_*$ : smallest c > 0 such that linear wave exists.

X(t): furthest point x to the right s.t.  $u(t, x, 0) = u_{\infty}/2$ .

Linear wave: sol. of 
$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + \partial_i u = -\gamma(i)u & (t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}, i > 0) \\ u(t, x, 0) = f'(0) \int_0^{+\infty} \beta(i)K *_x u(t, ., i) di. \\ \text{with } u(t, x, i) = e^{-\lambda(x - ct)} u(i). \end{cases}$$



 $c_*$ : smallest c > 0 such that linear wave exists.

X(t): furthest point x to the right s.t.  $u(t, x, 0) = u_{\infty}/2$ . Theorem (Diekman, Thieme, 1979).  $R_0 > 1 \implies X(t) = c_*t + o(t)$ .

- $\rightarrow$  Reduction to an integral equation for u(t, x, 0).
- $\rightarrow\,$  Many variants, starting point of monotone systems theory.

# Sharp asymptotic behaviour

### The result

Theorem (Faye, Zhang, R. 2024).  $X(t) = c_* t - \frac{3}{2\lambda_*} \ln t + O(1).$ 

### The result

Theorem (Faye, Zhang, R. 2024).  $X(t) = c_* t - \frac{3}{2\lambda_*} \ln t + O(1)$ . Starting point: Analogy with "Road-field model" (Berestycki, Rossi, R.)

$$\frac{5}{6}n + n - \chi_{0}n = \frac{5}{6}n - 7 = \frac{5}{6}n - \frac{5}{6}n + n - \frac{5}{6}n + \frac{5}{6}n +$$

## The result

Theorem (Faye, Zhang, R. 2024).  $X(t) = c_* t - \frac{3}{2\lambda_*} \ln t + O(1)$ . Starting point: Analogy with "Road-field model" (Berestycki, Rossi, R.)

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{1 + 1} = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{1 +$$

- Idea: view model as a road-field model instead of integral equation.
- **Common point**: global dynamics directed by line  $\{y = 0\}$ .
- Main advantage: flexibility with Cauchy Problems.
- Main difference: Boundary condition NOT an evolution equation.

Jean-Michel Roquejoffre Université Toulouse III-Paul Sabatier

æ

### — Comparison principles

- In the whole domain
- In domains of the form  $x \ge X(t, i)$  or  $x \le X(t, i)$ .

### - Comparison principles

- In the whole domain
- In domains of the form  $x \ge X(t,i)$  or  $x \le X(t,i)$ .

— Gaussian estimates: For  $t^{\delta} \lesssim x - c_* t \lesssim \sqrt{t}$ , (Diffusive area),

$$u(t,x,i) \approx \frac{e^{-\lambda_* \left(x-c_*(t-i)\right)}}{t-i} G_* \left(\frac{x-c_*(t-i)}{\sqrt{t-i}}\right), \ \ G_*(\xi) = \xi e^{-\xi^2/d_*}$$

 $d_*$ : an effective diffusivity.

### - Comparison principles

- In the whole domain
- In domains of the form  $x \ge X(t,i)$  or  $x \le X(t,i)$ .

— Gaussian estimates: For  $t^{\delta} \lesssim x - c_* t \lesssim \sqrt{t}$ , (Diffusive area),

$$u(t,x,i) \approx \frac{e^{-\lambda_* \left(x-c_*(t-i)\right)}}{t-i} G_* \left(\frac{x-c_*(t-i)}{\sqrt{t-i}}\right), \ \ G_*(\xi) = \xi e^{-\xi^2/d_*}$$

 $d_*$ : an effective diffusivity.

- Travelling waves: sol. of form  $\phi(x - ct, i)$ . Existence iff  $c \ge c_*$ . Also,  $\phi_{c_*}(x, i) \underset{x \to +\infty}{\sim} \pi_*(i)xe * -\lambda_*x$ .

### **Closing argument**

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ つんの

#### **Closing argument**

- Choose  $\sigma(t)$  s.t.  $u(t, x = c_* t + t^{\delta}, 0) \geq \phi_{c_*}(t^{\delta}, 0).$  $\sigma(t) \approx \frac{3}{2\lambda_*} \ln t + O(1).$ 

— u(t, x, i) falls eventually between two translates of  $\phi_{c_*} \left( x - c_* t - \frac{3}{2\lambda_*} \ln t \right)$ 

#### **Closing argument**

- Choose  $\sigma(t)$  s.t.  $u(t, x = c_*t + t^{\delta}, 0) \geq \phi_{c_*}(t^{\delta}, 0).$   $\sigma(t) \approx \frac{3}{2\lambda_*} \ln t + O(1).$ - u(t, x, i) falls eventually between two translates of

$$\phi_{c_*}(x-c_*t-rac{3}{2\lambda_*}\ln t)$$

### Work in progress

- Location of X(t) with precision  $o_{t \to +\infty}(1)$ ,
- original Kermack-McKendrick model and study of  $\mathcal{I}(t, x, i)$ .

# Thank you!

э